Navigating the uncommon: Goblet cell adenocarcinoma of appendix with brief review of literature
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ABSTRACT

Goblet cell adenocarcinoma (GCA) is a rare gastrointestinal malignancy with biological behavior intermediate between well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumor and adenocarcinoma. A succinct description of GCA has been made in the 5th edition of the World Health Organization classification of Digestive system tumors, after being decamped from the carcinoid group. Here, we present the case of a 44-year-old male, who presented with pain and abdominal distension and was found to have ileal stricture and subsequently underwent ileocecal resection. Histopathological examination revealed wall of the appendix infiltrated by a tumor, arranged predominantly in tubules (70%) and composed of goblet-like mucinous cells. Focal high-grade component (30%) of signet ring cells noted. Immunohistochemistry highlighted an amphicrine immunoprofile of diffuse CK20 and CDX2 immunopositivity and focal positivity for synaptophysin and chromogranin in the same tumor population. We also discuss the review of recent literature on cases of GCA and discuss its salient histological features, immunoprofile, and differential diagnoses.
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CASE REPORT

A 44-year-old male presented with abdominal distension and bilious vomiting for 4 days which was evaluated elsewhere and contrast-enhanced computed tomography abdomen showed ileocecal stricture. Case reports of GCA have been published under different names because of the multiple nosological jumps including goblet cell carcinoid to the recent GCA. The overall survival rate and prognosis depend on the grade and stage of the tumor, with higher stages heralding a poor prognosis.

Here, we present a case of incidentally diagnosed intermediate-grade GCA in an ileocecal resection specimen discussing the prime histological, and immunohistochemical findings and a brief review of recent literature in the English language on GCA. Even in a tertiary referral center for gastrointestinal pathology in India, this is the single case of GCA seen over a period of 1 year, and here lies the importance of documenting the case that will aid in determining a region-specific incidence rate.
of the acute abdomen and the presence of imaging findings of stricture. Tumor markers or colonoscopic examinations were not performed.

Subsequent ileocecal resection was done and no intraoperative peritoneal deposits, adhesions, or mass lesions were noted. On gross examination of the specimen, there was a circumferential stricture in the ileocecal junction and appendix with luminal narrowing.

Microscopic examination revealed circumferential involvement of the wall of the appendix (Fig. 1a), cecum, and ileum with a transmural infiltration by tumor predominantly arranged in tubules (70%) and composed of goblet-like mucinous cells along (Fig. 1b) with focal areas of Paneth-like cells. Focal area (approximately 30% of the total tumor area) showed a high-grade component of signet ring cells (Fig. 1c). Extensive perineural invasion was noted, along with the absence of lymphovascular invasion and desmoplastic stroma. No evidence of organoid nests of monomorphic round cells and extra-cellular mucin pools was noted. Immunohistochemistry highlighted an amphicrine profile of diffuse CK20 and CDX2 immunopositivity and focal (10%) (Figure 2) immunopositivity for synaptophysin and chromogranin in the same tumor population. After extensive dissection, only three lymph nodes were retrieved and were negative for metastasis.

Based on this, a final diagnosis of intermediate-grade GCA of the appendix was made. The post-operative period was uneventful and the patient was advised eight cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy. At the 3-month follow-up, the patient was disease-free with no residual or metastatic disease.

**DISCUSSION**

GCA of the appendix is a unique appendiceal tumor that shows features intermediate between adenocarcinoma of the appendix and neuroendocrine tumor. We did a meticulous online search in Google Scholar for case series, review articles, and case reports of GCA in indexed English language journals for the past 10 years (2014–2024), and reviewed them to evaluate the morphological findings, differential diagnoses age, gender predilection, pathological stage, and prognosis of GCA (Table 2).

In 2022 Palmer *et al.*, in their review of the literature of 1225 cases of GCA mentioned an incidence of 0.03–0.3 cases for a 100,000 population [1]. In all of the above studies, the median age of presentation noted is the 5th decade [6-8]. No definite gender predilection was observed in most studies; however, slight female predilection was noted in cases with disseminated disease [8,9].

The majority of the cases presented with acute abdomen and/or abdominal mass/enlarged appendix on imaging. Acute appendicitis and perforation were common presentations in the case of low-grade disease and abdominal mass in the case of high-grade disease [2]. Right hemicolectomy was the treatment modality in the majority of the cases, despite a few cases with lymph node positivity and distant metastasis requiring adjuvant chemotherapy.

We have also evaluated salient histological findings to accurately diagnose GCA and to rule out other differential diagnoses of mucinous adenocarcinoma and mixed neuroendocrine-non-neuroendocrine neoplasm (MiNeN). The histological findings that aided us in diagnosis include variable low-grade component, that is, round tubule formation composed of goblet cells that resemble crypt of the intestine (Fig. 1a and 1b) admixed with a variable high-grade component comprising of solid growth pattern with signet ring cells in sheets, cords, or singly dispersed (Fig. 1c) along with the extensive perineural invasion. Absent/minimal desmoplastic stroma, and absence of dysplasia in adjacent mucosa and the presence of variable amount of Paneth cell metaplasia were also noted. Grading of GCA was first proposed by Tang *et al.* [5] and implemented and standardized by the recent 5th edition of WHO tumors of the digestive system (Table 1) along with the change of nomenclature from goblet cell carcinoid to GCA.

The main entities from which GCA should be differentiated are mucinous adenocarcinoma of appendix and also MiNeN. In MiNeN, both adenocarcinoma component is seen intimately admixed with the neuroendocrine tumor population, with each component comprising at least 30% of the total tumor area [5,10]. In the case of a predominantly high-grade component mimicking a mucinous adenocarcinoma or signet ring cell carcinoma, adequate sampling and careful inspection for the presence of a low-grade GCA tumor component will aid in the correct diagnosis of a high-grade GCA. However, there is no prognostic difference between a high-grade adenocarcinoma of mucinous

**Table 1: Histological Grading of GCA [3]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GCA grade (WHO 5th Edition of Digestive Tumours)</th>
<th>Proportion of tumour with low-grade tubular /clustered growth pattern (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1, Low grade</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2, Intermediate grade</td>
<td>50-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3, High grade</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Hematoxylin and eosin stain (a) shows circumferential and transmural involvement of the wall of the appendix with a tumor composed of tubules and absent surface mucosal dysplasia (10×); (b) shows goblet cells in tubules resembling normal intestinal crypts with absence of desmoplastic stroma (40×); (c) High-grade component comprising sheets of signet ring cells (40×)
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Table 2: Demographic profile, radiology, and treatment modality of GCA case series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Case series</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Median age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Radiology</th>
<th>Clinical finding</th>
<th>Treatment modality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Palmer et al. [1]</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>6th decade</td>
<td>F=M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Right hemicolectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wang et al. [6]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>F=M</td>
<td>Acute appendicitis</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Appendedectomy followed by right hemicolectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kiyosawa et al. [7]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F=M</td>
<td>Swollen appendix</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Right hemicolectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fields et al. [8]</td>
<td>2552</td>
<td>5th decade</td>
<td>F&gt;M</td>
<td>Enlarged appendix</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Right hemicolectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Olsen et al. [9]</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>59/58</td>
<td>F=M</td>
<td>Right hemicolectomy</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Right hemicolectomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F: Female, M: Male

Figure 2: CDX2 immunohistochemistry shows diffuse positivity in tumor cells

GCA is a relatively rare entity with multiple nosologic jumps. Type/signet ring cell type and a high-grade GCA. The prognosis depends on the pathologic stage and histologic grade of the disease. In all the above studies (Table 2), GCA shows amphicrine immunoprofile with diffuse expression for CDX2, CK20, and variable immunopositivity for neuroendocrine markers such as synaptophysin and chromogranin in the same population of tumor cells. As opposed to MiNeN, where two tumor populations with distinct neuroendocrine and non-neuroendocrine morphology and immune profile are admixed together, GCA shows an amphicrine immunoprofile with the same tumor cells exhibiting CK20, CDX2, and variable neuroendocrine markers. Our case showed a similar immunoprofile and no separate neuroendocrine tumor component was highlighted on immunohistochemistry.

CONCLUSION

GCA is a relatively rare entity with multiple nosologic jumps. A careful histopathological examination will allow accurate identification and grading of the tumor and awareness of its amphicrine immunoprofile will prevent misdiagnosis as a MiNeN. Adequate sampling and careful examination for the low-grade component will aid in the accurate diagnosis of this relatively rare entity and help in the determination of region-specific incidence rate.
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